

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION MEDICAL STAFF SERVICES

**NAMSS**

ADVANCING THE DELIVERY OF QUALITY HEALTHCARE



# **38<sup>th</sup> Annual NAMSS Educational Conference October 4-8, 2014**

***The New NPDB Guidebook:  
What's Old and What's New***

Michael R. Callahan  
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP  
Chicago, Illinois  
michael.callahan@kattenlaw.com  
312.902.5634

# NPDB Background

- In 1987, Congress authorized federal government to collect sanctions information taken by state licensing authorities against health care practitioners and health care entities.
- Patrick v. Burget (1988)
  - U.S. Supreme Court reversed a Circuit Court of Appeals decision which had found that the state action doctrine exempted peer review conduct from antitrust liability.
  - The effect of the decision was to reinstate a civil judgment against physicians on a on a medical staff for their “bad faith” peer review.
  - In response to concerns that physicians would not participate in peer review activities and that incompetent physicians were moving from state to state to avoid detection in 1990, the law was amended to add any negative findings by peer review or accreditation entities.

# NPDB Background *cont'd*

---

- In 1999, final regulations passed leading to the formation of the health care Integrity and Protection Data Bank (“HIPDB”) which received and disclosed certain final adverse actions, such as licensure, certification, criminal and civil convictions and exclusions from state and federal health care programs based on health care fraud and abuse violations.
- In 2013, NPDB and HIPDB operations were consolidated.

# Eligible Entities that Report to and Query the NPDB

**Table B-1 Eligible Entities that Report to and Query the NPDB, Part 1**

Each of the three major statutes governing NPDB operations has its own set of eligible entities with specific reporting and querying requirements. Eligible entities are responsible for complying with all reporting and/or querying requirements that apply; some entities may qualify as more than one type of eligible entity.

**Title IV Requirements**

<u>Entity</u>	<u>Report</u>	<u>Query</u>
Medical malpractice payers	Required	Prohibited
Hospitals	Required	Required
Health care entities that provide health care services and follow a formal peer review process for the purpose of furthering quality health care	Required	Optional
Professional societies that follow a formal peer review process for the purpose of furthering quality health care	Required	Optional
Boards of medical examiners	Required	Optional
Other State licensing boards	No Requirement	Optional
DEA	Required	Prohibited
OIG	Required	Prohibited

Refer to Table B-1, Part 2, for additional information on reporting and querying requirement.

# Eligible Entities that Report to and Query the NPDB *cont'd*



**Table B-2 Eligible Entities that Report to and Query the NPDB, Part 2**

Each of the three major statutes governing NPDB operations has its own set of eligible entities with specific reporting and querying requirements. Eligible entities are responsible for complying with all reporting and/or querying requirements that apply; some entities may qualify as more than one type of eligible entity.

**Section 1921 and Section 1128E Requirements**

<u>Entity</u>	<u>Report</u>	<u>Query</u>
Hospitals*	Required	Prohibited
Health care entities that provide health care services and follow a formal peer review process for the purpose of furthering quality health care	Required	Required
Professional societies that follow a formal peer review process for the purpose of furthering quality health care	Required	Optional
Health plans	Required	Optional
Quality improvement organizations	No Requirement	Optional**
State licensing and certification authorities	Required (§ 1921)	Optional
Peer review organizations	Required (§ 1921)	Optional**
Private accreditation organizations	Required (§ 1921)	Optional
State law enforcement agencies, including State prosecutors***	Required (§ 1921)	Prohibited
State Medicaid fraud control units***	Required (§ 1921)	Prohibited
State agencies administering or supervising the administration of State health care programs***	Required (§ 1921)	Optional
Agencies administering Federal health care programs, including private entities administering such programs under contract	Required (§ 1128E)	Optional
Federal licensing and certification agencies	Required (§ 1128E)	Optional
Federal law enforcement officials and agencies, including Federal prosecutors	Required (§ 1128E)	Optional

\*Under Title IV, Hospital are required to query to NPDB.

\*\* As described in Chapter D: Queries, with a few limited exceptions, these entities have access to all of the information reported under Section 1921 and Section 1128F.

\*\*\*NPD regulations defined “state law or fraud enforcement agency” as including but not limited to these entities.

Refer to Table B-1, Part 1, for additional information on reporting and querying requirements.

# Eligible Entities that Report to and Query the NPDB *cont'd*

- Hospitals – required to report and query.
- Other health care entities – optional.
  - Must provide health care services.
  - Must follow a formal peer review process to further quality health care.
  - Is broad in scope and **can** apply to HMOs, PPOs, group practices, nursing facilities, patient centered medical homes and ACOs.
  - If it provides health care services and performs peer reviews for the purpose of furthering health care, it must report and may query at any time.

# Eligible Entities that Report to and Query the NPDB *cont'd*

- **Question:** A hospital merged with another hospital. Should they continue to query separately using two different DBIDs?
  - If a physician becomes a member of both medical staffs when applying/reapplying to one or the other, then only one query required.

# Subject of Reports

**Table C-1: Examples of Health Care Practitioners, Part I**

The following lists of health care practitioners are provided solely for illustration. Since licensure and certification requirements vary from State to State, there may be additional categories of health care practitioners not reflected on the following lists, and there may be categories listed below that do not satisfy the definition of health care practitioner for particular States. Each entity that reports to or queries the NPDB is responsible for determining which categories of health care practitioners are licensed or otherwise authorized by their State to provide health care services.

**Chiropractor**

**Counselor**

- Counselor, Mental Health
- Professional Counselor
- Professional Counselor, Alcohol
- Professional Counselor, Family/Marriage
- Professional Counselor, Substance Abuse
- Marriage and Family Therapist

**Dental Service Provider**

- Dentist
- Dental Resident
- Dental Assistant
- Dental Therapist/Dental Health Aide
- Dental Hygienist
- Denturist

**Dietitian/Nutritionist**

- Dietitian
- Nutritionist

**Emergency Medical Technician (EMT)**

- EMT, Basic
- EMT, Cardiac/Critical Care
- EMT, Intermediate
- EMT, Paramedic

**Eye and Vision Service Provider**

- Ocularist
- Optician
- Optometrist

**Nurse - Advanced, Registered, Vocational**

- Registered (Professional) Nurse
- Nurse Anesthetist
- Nurse Midwife
- Nurse Practitioner
- Doctor of Nursing Practice
- Clinical Nurse Specialist
- Licensed Practical or Vocational Nurse

**Nurses Aide, Home Health Aide, Other Aide**

- Certified Nurse Aide/Certified Nurse Assistant
- Nurses Aide
- Home Health Aide (Homemaker)
- Health Care Aide/Direct Care Worker
- Certified or Qualified Medication Aide

**Pharmacy Service Provider**

- Pharmacist
- Pharmacist Intern
- Pharmacist, Nuclear
- Pharmacy Assistant
- Pharmacy Technician

**Physicians**

- Physician (MD)
- Physician Intern/Resident (MD)
- Osteopathic Physician (DO)
- Osteopathic Physician Intern/Resident (DO)

# Subject of Reports *cont'd*

**Table C-1: Examples of Health Care Practitioners, Part 2**

**Physician Assistant**

Physician Assistant, Allopathic  
Physician Assistant, Osteopathic

**Podiatric Service Provider**

Podiatrist  
Podiatric Assistant

**Psychologist, Psychological Assistant**

Psychologist  
School Psychologist  
Psychological Assistant, Associate,  
Examiner

**Rehabilitative, Respiratory, and Restorative Service Practitioner**

Art/Recreation Therapist  
Massage Therapist  
Occupational Therapist  
Occupational Therapy Assistant  
Physical Therapist  
Physical Therapy Assistant  
Rehabilitation Therapist  
Respiratory Therapist  
Respiratory Therapy Technician

**Social Worker**

**Speech, Language, and Hearing Service Provider**

Audiologist  
Speech/Language Pathologist  
Hearing Aid (or Instrument) Specialist,  
Dealer, Dispenser, or Fitter

**Technologist/Technician**

Medical or Clinical Laboratory  
Technologist  
Medical or Clinical Laboratory Technician  
Surgical Technologist  
Surgical Assistant  
Cytotechnologist  
Nuclear Medicine Technologist  
Radiation Therapy Technologist  
Radiologic Technologist  
X-Ray Technician or Operator  
Limited X-Ray Machine Operator (LXMO)

**Other Health Care Practitioner**

Acupuncturist  
Athletic Trainer  
Homeopath  
Medical Assistant  
Midwife, Lay (non-nurse)  
Naturopath  
Orthotics/Prosthetics Fitter  
Perfusionist  
Psychiatric Technician

# Reporting Requirements and Query Access

**Table C-3 Summary of Reporting Requirements and Query Access, Part I**

Law	Who Reports?	What is Reported	Who is Reported?	Who May Query/Request Information?
<b>Title IV</b>	Medical malpractice payers	Medical malpractice payments resulting from a written claim or judgment	Practitioners	Hospitals (required by law) Other health care entities with formal peer review Professional societies with formal peer review State medical and dental boards and other State licensing boards Plaintiff's attorney/pro se plaintiff (limited circumstances) Health care practitioners (self-query) Researchers (de-identified statistical data only)
	State medical and dental boards	Certain adverse licensure actions related to professional competence or conduct	Physicians and dentists	
	Hospitals other health care entities with formal peer review	Certain adverse clinical privileges actions related to professional competence or conduct	Physicians and dentists Other practitioners (optional)	
	Professional societies with formal peer review	Certain adverse professional society membership actions related to professional competence or conduct	Physicians and dentists Other practitioners (optional)	
	DEA	DEA controlled-substance registration actions*	Practitioners	
	OIG	Exclusions from participation in Medicare, Medicaid, and other Federal health care programs*	Practitioners	

\*This information is reported to the NPDB under Title IV based on a memorandum of understanding.

# Reporting Requirements and Query Access *cont'd*

**Table C-3 Summary of Reporting Requirements and Query Access, Part 2**

Law	Who Reports?	What is Reported	Who is Reported?	Who May Query/Request Information?
<b>Section 1921</b>	Peer review organizations	Negative actions or findings by peer review organizations	Practitioners	Hospitals and other health care entities * Professional societies with formal peer review * Quality improvement organizations * State licensing and certification authorities Agencies administering Federal health care programs, including private entities administering such programs under contract Federal licensing and certification agencies Health plans State law enforcement agencies *** State Medicaid fraud control units *** State agencies administering or supervising the administration of state health care programs *** Federal and State prosecutors
	Private accreditation organizations	Negative actions or findings by private accreditation organizations	Entities, providers, and suppliers	
	State licensing and certification authorities	State licensing and certification actions	Practitioners, entities, providers, and suppliers	
	State law enforcement agencies*** State Medicaid fraud control units*** State agencies administering or supervising the administration of state health care programs*** Federal and State prosecutors	Exclusions from a State health care program Health care-related civil judgments in State court Health care-related State criminal convictions Other adjudicated actions or decisions	Practitioners, providers, and suppliers	
<b>Section 1128E</b>	Federal agencies Health plans	Federal licensing and certification actions ** Exclusions from a Federal health care program ** Health care-related Federal or State criminal convictions ** Health care-related civil judgments in Federal or State court Other adjudicated actions or decisions		State agencies administering or supervising the administration of State health care programs *** Federal law enforcement officials and agencies Practitioners, entities, providers, and suppliers (self-query) Researchers (de-identified, statistical data, only)

\*As more fully explained in Chapter D: Queries, with a few limited exceptions, these entities have access to all of the information reported under Section 1921 and Section 1128F

\*\* Reported by Federal agencies only.

NPDB regulations define “state law or fraud enforcement agency” as including but not limited to these entities.

# Reporting Requirements and Query Access *cont'd*

- **Question:** Can eligible entities report on health care practitioners who are not physicians or dentists?
  - **Yes**

# Queries – Information Available to Queriers

**Table D-I Information Available to Queries as Authorized by Law, Part I**

Law	Authorized Queries	Available Information	Subjects of Reports
<b>Title IV</b>	Hospitals (required by law) Other health care entities with formal peer review Professional societies with formal peer review State medical and dental boards and other State licensing boards Plaintiff's attorney/pro se plaintiff (limited circumstances) Health care practitioners (self-query)	Medical malpractice payments	Practitioners
		Certain adverse licensure actions taken by State medical and dental boards	Physicians and dentists
		Certain adverse clinical privileges actions	Primarily Physicians and dentists
		Certain adverse professional society membership actions	Primarily Physicians and dentists
		DEA controlled-substance registration actions	Practitioners
		Exclusions from Medicare, Medicaid, and other Federal health care programs	Practitioners

# Queries – Information Available to Queriers *cont'd*

**Table D-I Information Available to Queries as Authorized by Law, Part 2**

Law	Authorized Queries	Available Information	Subjects of Reports
<b>Section 1921 and Section 1128E</b>	Hospitals *	Negative actions or findings by peer review organizations	Practitioners
	Other health care entities with formal peer review*		
	Health plans	Negative actions or findings by private accreditation organizations	Entities, providers, and suppliers
	Professional societies with formal peer review*		
Quality improvement organizations*	State licensure and certification actions	Practitioners, entities, providers, and suppliers	
State licensing and certification authorities			
State law enforcement agencies**	Federal licensure and certification actions Exclusions from Federal or State health care programs* Health care-related civil judgments in Federal or State court* Health care-related criminal convictions in Federal or State court* Other adjudicated actions or decisions*	Health care practitioners, providers, and suppliers	
State Medicaid fraud control units**			
State agencies administering or supervising the administration of a State health care program**			
Agencies administering Federal health care programs, including private entities administering such programs under contract			
Federal licensing or certification agencies			
Federal law enforcement officials or agencies			
Practitioners, entities, providers, and suppliers requesting information concerning themselves (self-query)			

\*Hospitals, other health care entities, professional societies, and quality improvement organizations are not authorized to receive certain adverse actions reported under Section 1921, including exclusions from State health care programs, health care-related criminal convictions and civil judgments in State court, and other adjudicated actions or decisions.

\*\*NPDB regulations authorize State law or fraud enforcement agencies to query the NPDB. The regulations define a “state law or fraud enforcement agency” as including, but not limited to, these entities.

# Queries – Information Available to Queriers *cont'd*

---

- Hospital obligation to query
  - When a physician, dentist or other health care practitioner applies for medical staff appointment or for clinical privileges at the hospital, including temporary privileges at each request.
  - Reappointment every two years.
  - When a practitioner seeks to add or expand existing clinical privileges.
- Residents and interns (house staff)
  - No if exercising privileges pursuant to a formal educational program.
  - Yes if exercising clinical privileges outside educational programs, i.e., moonlighting in ICU or ED.

# Queries – Information Available to Queriers *cont'd*

- Emeritus, Honorary Members
  - Yes if on the Medical Staff even if not exercising clinical privileges.
- What if hospital fails to query?
  - Hospital will be presumed to be aware of NPDB information
  - A plaintiff's attorney or plaintiff representing him or herself will have access to information for use in litigation against the hospital. Needs to submit:
    - Letter requesting authorization to obtain information.
    - Supporting evidence that hospital did not make mandatory query regarding defendant physician/practitioner.
    - Identifying information about practitioner.
    - Allowed a one-time disclosure at the time hospital was required to query.

## Queries – Information Available to Queriers *cont'd*

---

- **Question:** Are hospitals required to document and maintain records of their requests for information?
  - **No** but serves as best evidence that a response was obtained. Response maintained for 45 days although NPDB maintains a query history of when queries were made but not the responses.

## Queries – Information Available to Queriers *cont'd*

---

- **Question:** If a health care entity cannot find or did not receive a response to a query, may a copy be requested?
  - **No.** – Must re-submit request. If query was paid for contact customer service. Do not submit a new query.

# Centralized Credentialing

---

- If health care system has multiple qualifying health care entities at which a practitioner is allowed to exercise membership and/or clinical privileges only one query needs to be made if using a centralized peer review process and one decision making body.
- If each entity conducts its own credentialing and only grants membership/privilege at its site then query response cannot be shared and separate queries must be made.

# Delegated Credentialing

- A health care entity that delegates its credentialing responsibilities to another entity is prohibited from receiving NPDB querying results.
- Different from use of an authorized agent who simply queries and receives information on behalf of the entity.
- Authorized agents cannot use a query response on behalf of more than one entity.
- If two separate entities choose the same authorized agent and are making a query on the same individual, agent must make two separate queries. Information cannot be shared — would violate confidentiality requirements.

# Delegated Credentialing *cont'd*

- **Question:** Can NPDB report be shared including use in a hearing and appeal process?
  - **Yes** as long as the individuals are part of the credentialing/privileging/peer review/hearing process.

# Delegated Credentialing *cont'd*

- **Question:** Can a hospital share an NPDB report with an unrelated health care entity if authorized to do so by the practitioner?
  - **No** if not a part of the hospital's investigation or peer review process.

# Time Frame for Reporting

**Table E-2: Time Frame for Reporting**

Types of Actions that Must Be Reported	When Information Must be Reported
<p>Medical malpractice payments</p> <p>Certain adverse licensure actions related to professional competence or conduct (reported under Title IV)</p> <p>Certain adverse professional society membership actions related to professional competence or conduct</p> <p>Certain adverse professional society membership actions related to professional competence or conduct</p> <p>DEA controlled-substance registration actions or practitioners (reported under Title IV)</p> <p>Exclusions from participation in Medicare, Medicaid, and other Federal health care programs (reported under Title IV)</p>	<p>Within 30 days of the date the action was taken or the payment was issued, beginning with actions occurring on or after September 1, 1990</p>
<p>Negative actions or findings taken by peer review organizations</p> <p>Negative actions or findings taken by private accreditation organizations</p>	<p>Within 30 days of the date the action was taken, beginning with actions occurring on or after January 1, 1992</p>
<p>State Licensure and certification actions</p> <p>Federal licensure and certification actions</p> <p>Health care-related criminal convictions in Federal or State Court</p> <p>Health care-related civil judgments in a Federal or State health care program</p> <p>Other adjudicated actions or decisions</p>	<p>Within 30 days of the date the action was taken, beginning with actions occurring on or after August 21, 1996</p>

# Types of Reports

---

- Initial Report
  - Affected practitioner receives a copy.
  - Report needs to be factually accurate.
- Correction Report
  - Submitted when error identified.
  - Replaces the original Initial Report.
  - Practitioner receives a copy and sends also to any person or entity who queried and received a copy of the erroneous report in the past three years.

# Types of Reports *cont'd*

- Hospital also needs to send the corrected report to the appropriate state licensing board or certification authority.
- Void Report
  - A report submitted in error or if action was not reportable or action overturned on appeal.
  - Notification sent to practitioner and any person or entity which received previous report during past three years.
  - Void Report removed from record.

# Types of Reports *cont'd*

---

- Revision-to-Action Report
  - Is a report which modifies but does not replace the Initial Report. Both become part of the discloseable record. Examples include:
    - Initial 90 day suspension reduced to 45 days.
    - State medical boards decision to reprimand physician changed to a probation when physician fails to complete required continuing education credits.

# Narrative Descriptions

---

- “Must include sufficient detail to ensure future queriers have a clear understanding of what the subject of the report is alleged to have done and the nature of the event upon which the report is based.”
- Should be limited to the official findings or facts of the case.
- Should consult with legal counsel before filing.

# Narrative Descriptions *cont'd*

---

- **Question**: May a reporting organization provide a copy of the NPDB report to the practitioner?
  - **Yes**, but identifying information should be removed. NPDB automatically sends instructions on how to get an official copy.

# Reporting Adverse Clinical Privileges Actions

---

- Decisions must be based on a physician's or dentists professional competence or conduct that adversely affects, or could adversely affect, the health or welfare of a patient.
- Decision is made by the reporting health care entity.
- Reporting non-physicians is optional.

# When Are The Actions Reportable?

- Professional review actions that adversely affect a physician's or dentist's clinical privileges for more than 30 days.
- Acceptance of surrender or restriction of clinical privileges while under investigation or in return for not conducting such an investigation or not taking a professional review action that otherwise would be required to be reported to the NPDB.
- Adverse actions include:
  - Reducing
  - Restricting
  - Suspending
  - Revoking
  - Non-renewal of membership/privileges based on professional competence or conduct.

# When Are The Actions Reportable? *cont'd*

- **Question:** What is a professional review action that relates to professional competence or conduct that adversely affects or could adversely affect the health or welfare of a patient?
  - No real clear definition. Appears that entity has some flexibility in deciding what does and what does not constitute a professional review action.

# When Are The Actions Reportable? *cont'd*

- Draft states that censures, admonishments and reprimands greater than 30 days are reportable.
  - WRONG – Physician privileges are not adversely affected by these decisions. Same for monitoring, practicing and mandatory consultations.
- Decisions based on failure to pay dues, failure to maintain insurance, employment disputes or other business issues are **not** reportable.
- Revocations based on failure to become board certified or some other similar eligibility criteria are **not** reportable.
- If multiple adverse actions taken which are each otherwise reportable, only one report is required but should use narrative description to explain all actions taken.

# When Are The Actions Reportable? *cont'd*

- **Question**: If a physician's initial application or request for expanded privileges is denied, is this decision reportable?
  - Depends on whether the decision was the product of a professional review action based on clinical competency or simply that physician did not satisfy eligibility criteria.
    - **Example**: Physician did not have appropriate experience to obtain specialized surgical privileges beyond core privileges – not reportable.
    - **Example**: Did not have minimum number of privileges – not reportable.

# When Are The Actions Reportable? *cont'd*

- **Question**: If a physician's privileges are automatically terminated because his license was revoked, is this decision reportable?
  - **No** because there was no professional review action

# When Are The Actions Reportable? *cont'd*

- **Question:** If an employed physician is terminated based on professional competency issues, is the termination reportable?
  - **Yes** if there was a professional review action, which rarely takes place. **No** if there was not.

# Withdrawal of Applications

- Voluntary withdrawal of an initial application prior to a final professional review action generally not reportable.
- If application is withdrawn at time of reappointment while under investigation for incompetence or improper professional conduct or in return for not conducting an investigation or taking professional review action then withdrawal *is* reportable.
- Denial of application and application withdrawal reportable even if physician had no knowledge of the investigation.
  - Many commentators objected to this statement as being inherently unfair.
  - Data bank on record as stating that physician's misleading or false representations on an initial application is reportable if accurate information would have led to a denial.

# Investigations

- This section of the Draft is the most controversial.
- Routine investigations are not reportable.
- Surrender or restriction of privileges while under investigation or to avoid an investigation is reportable.
- OPPEs not reportable because the standards apply for everyone.

“If the formal peer review process is used when issues relating to competence or conduct are identified or when a need to monitor a physician’s performance is triggered based on a single event or pattern of events ... this is considered an investigation for the purposes of reporting to the NPDB.”  
(E3 I)

# Investigations *cont'd*

- Should have documented evidence of an investigation if reporting a surrender of privileges such as minutes, orders, notices.
- “An investigation is not limited to a health care entity’s gathering of facts. An investigation begins as soon as the health care entity begins an inquiry and does not end until the health care entity’s decision making authority takes a final action or formally closes an investigation.”
- “For NPDB reporting purposes, the term ‘investigation’ is not controlled by how that term may be defined in a health care entity’s bylaws or policies or procedures.”
- “A routine or general review of cases is **not** an investigation.”

# Investigations *cont'd*

- “A routine review of a particular practitioner is **not** an investigation.”
- Question 16 at E-41: “After receiving multiple quality of care complaints about a physician, a hospital initiated an investigation (referral to as a Focused Professional Evaluation [FPPE]. During the investigation, the physician resigned her clinical privileges at the hospital. Since there was no professional review action taken, should a report be submitted to the NPDB?
  - “Yes. The investigation (the FPPE) was triggered by an event involving professional competence and centered on the physician’s performance outside the scope of a routine review. Since the physician resigned her clinical privileges while under investigation, a report must be submitted to the NPDB.”

# Investigations *cont'd*

- The NPDB's position on what constitutes an investigation for reporting purposes, including the determination that an FPPE is an investigation, has been universally criticized by such organizations as The Joint Commission, NAMSS, AHA and the NPDB Guidebook, Work Group. Comments include the following:
  - The use of OPPEs and FPPEs was established to TJC to help serve as “part of a continuous process of evaluation to ensure a high quality and safe health care system.”
  - Hospitals required to impose an FPPE on all new applications—does not mean they are under an investigation.
  - Characterization of an FPPE as an investigation might lead a hospital and medical staff to avoid using FPPEs.

# Investigations *cont'd*

---

- Hospital and medical staff should be able to define what constitutes an investigation in their bylaws consistent with the statute and regulations.
- Peer review activities should not be characterized as investigation.
- Imposition of an FPPE does not typically trigger hearing rights and therefore a hospital would almost be required to provide a hearing if it wants to access the immunity protections under HCQIA.

# Investigations *cont'd*

---

- Investigations are more typically triggered when there is a formal request for corrective action by the MEC or hospital. The reviews and analyses which take place before this request including OPPE/FPPEs are viewed as normal, routine peer review activity.
- If imposition of a FPPE plan is not reportable neither should resignation before or after imposition of an FPPE plan – privileges are not limited.

# Summary Suspensions

---

- Are reportable if in effect for more than 30 days even though there is no final decision.
- Should be limited to where action is needed to protect patients from “imminent danger”.
- Determine if some lesser form of remedial action will suffice.
- Hearing panels oftentimes overturn summary suspensions.
- Consider requiring that at least two individuals, one clinician and one administrator, must concur before imposing

# Summary Suspensions *cont'd*

---

- If suspension is reversed or modified then appropriate report needs to be submitted such as a Void Report or a Reversion-to-Action.
- Use if different terms, i.e., emergency, precautions immediate, makes no difference.

# Proctoring/Monitoring/Mandatory Consultations

---

- Imposition of a monitoring/proctoring/mandatory consultation is **not** reportable because physician can still exercise clinical privileges.
- If a Department Chair or other individual must approve a procedure or has veto authority then action **is** reportable.

# Sanctions for Failing to Report

---

- Can lose the HCQIA immunity protections for 3 years.
- Health care entity, if it is determined to have substantially failed in reporting an adverse decision, will be given an opportunity to either comply without a penalty or to request a hearing.