

Katten

**Texas Hospital Association
2023 THA PSO Spring Summit**

April 27, 2023

How to Respond to a Regulator's Demand for PSWP

Michael R. Callahan
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
312-902-5634
michael.callahan@katten.com
<https://katten.com/Michael-Callahan>

Disclaimer

- The opinions expressed in this presentation do not reflect the official position of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), the Office of Civil Rights (OCR) or the Texas Hospital Association Patient Safety Organization.



Comparison of Texas and Patient Safety Act Peer Review Protections

Scope of Protected Activities

- Texas
 - “Medical Peer Review” is defined as:
 - The evaluation of medical and healthcare services
 - Evaluation of the qualifications and professional conduct of professional healthcare practitioners of patient care provided by those practitioners
 - The merits of a complaint relating to a healthcare practitioner and a determination or recommendation regarding the complaint
 - Accuracy of the diagnosis
 - Quality of the care provided by a healthcare practitioner
 - Report made to a medical peer review committee concerning activities under the committee’s review authority

Scope of Protected Activities

- Report made by a medical peer review committee to another committee or to the board as permitted or required by law
- Implementation of the duties of a medical peer review committee by a member, agent, or employee of the committee

Scope of Protected Activities (cont'd)

- Patient Safety Act
 - “Patient Safety Activities” mean the following:
 - Efforts to improve patient safety and the quality of healthcare delivery
 - The collection and analysis of patient safety work product
 - The development and dissemination of information with respect to improving patient safety such as recommendations, protocols or information regarding thus practices
 - The utilization of patient safety work product for the purpose of encouraging a culture of safety and the providing of feedback and assistance to effectively minimize patient risk
 - The maintenance of procedures to preserve confidentiality with respect to patient safety work product
 - The provision of appropriate security measures with respect to patient safety work product

Scope of Protected Activities (cont'd)

- The utilization of qualified staff
- Activities related to the operation of a patient safety evaluation system and to the provision of feedback to participants in the patient safety evaluation system

Scope of Covered Entities

- Texas
 - A “Medical Peer Review Committee” is defined as:
 - A committee of a healthcare entity
 - A governing board of a healthcare entity
 - The medical staff of a healthcare entity that operates under written bylaws approved by the policy making body or governing board of the healthcare entity and is authorized to evaluate the quality of medical and healthcare services or the competence of physicians including evaluation of the performance of those functions set forth above
 - A “Healthcare Entity” is defined as:
 - A hospital

Scope of Covered Entities

- An entity including an HMO, group medical practice, nursing home, health science center, university medical school, hospital district, hospital authority or other healthcare facility that:
 - Provides or pays for healthcare or healthcare services
 - Follows a formal peer review process to further quality medical care of healthcare

Scope of Covered Entities (cont'd)

- Patient Safety Act
 - “Provider” means:
 - An individual or entity licensed or otherwise authorized under state law to provide healthcare services
 - Agencies, organizations and individuals within the federal, state, local or tribal governments that deliver healthcare
 - A parent organization of one or more licensed provider

Scope of Privileged Protections

- Texas
 - The records and proceedings of a medical committee are confidential and are not subject to court subpoena
 - A record or determination of or a communication to a medical peer review committee is not subject to subpoena or discovery and is not admissible as evidence in any civil, judicial, or administrative proceeding without waiver of the privilege executed in writing by the committee

Scope of Privileged Protections (cont'd)

- The Patient Safety Act
 - “Privileged Patient Safety Work Product” means:
 - Any data, reports, records, memoranda, analyses (such as root cause analyses), or written or oral statements or copies of any of this material
 - which could improve patient safety, healthcare quality or healthcare outcomes and
 - which are assembled or developed by a provider for reporting to a PSO and are reported to a PSO that is document as within a patient safety evaluation system for reporting to a PSO
 - which identify or constitute the deliberations or analysis of, or identify the fact of reporting pursuant a PSES
- Original records cannot be considered PSWP

Application of Privileged Protections in State, Federal or other Proceedings

- Texas
 - Texas privileged statutes only apply in state, judicial or administrative proceedings. The protections will not be used in federal court to preempt a federal cause of action.
- Patient Safety Act
 - Privileged PSWP is not admissible nor discover in all proceedings, including state and federal

Waiver

- Texas
 - Generally speaking the privilege protections cannot be waived unless a medical peer review committee expressly authorizes waiver of the protections in writing
 - There is case law which states that a voluntary disclosure by a committee or impermissible disclosure arguably could constitute a waiver
- Patient Safety Act
 - The privilege protections under the Patient Safety Act are not waived under any circumstances

Disclosure of Privileged Information within a Healthcare System

- Texas
 - The scope of protected activities in Texas is more limited than the Patient Safety Act
 - It is not clear whether privileged peer review information can be freely shared across a healthcare system as opposed to one medical peer review committee to another committee
- Patient Safety Act
 - PSWP can be shared among affiliated providers
 - Affiliated providers can include a non-licensed corporate parent or parent organization that owns, controls, manages or has veto authority over a licensed healthcare facility or provider

Regulators Demand for PSWP: How To Respond

- Information Categories
 - Information subject to mandatory reports to a state or federal governmental entity
 - Not eligible for PSWP protection
 - Texas requires mandated reports for 48 Preventable Adverse Events (“PAE”) and healthcare associated infections (“HAIs”) effective 1/1/20 by licensed – not comprehensive medical rehab hospitals or special hospitals that do not provide surgery or OB services
 - PAE Questions include:
 - Record Type
 - Preventable Adverse Event
 - Date Event Occurred or Discovered
 - Medical Record Number or Patient ID

Regulators Demand for PSWP: How To Respond

- Level of Harm
- Do You Want DSHS to Delete This Record
- What about additional root cause questions?
 - Optional or encouraged but not required
- If participating and reporting to a PSO are the reports still required?
 - Yes
- Information not subject to mandatory reporting nor is there a requirement to be make information available for inspection by a governmental entity
 - Information is eligible for PSWP protection if collected in the PSES and reported to the PSO or treated as D or A
- Information which must be collected and maintained and/or must be made available for inspection by a governmental entity

Regulators Demand for PSWP: How To Respond

- Grey area
- HHS PSO Guidance states that such information is not eligible for PSWP protection under the Patient Safety Act
- One important question is whether the collection and maintenance of information/reports is voluntary or mandatory
- Guidance is not binding
- Recommendation is to err on the side of asserting the privilege under state and/or federal law
- But also need to consider the political impact of denying the request

Regulators Demand for PSWP: How To Respond

- **Step by Step Guidance**

- Do not prevent surveyors from entering the facility
- Are they there on behalf of CMS and/or the state?
- Do not panic
- Make sure that appropriate personnel including legal counsel is contacted and decide who will accompany the surveyors
- Review documents requested by surveyor if in writing or if verbally requested
- Determine whether any of the information requested is PSWP or privileged under Texas law
- If PSWP is requested, provide them the “Information for State and Federal Regulators form (See Attached A)

Regulators Demand for PSWP: How To Respond

- If acting on behalf of CMS, provide them the statement from the following statement is set forth in the HHS Guidance Regarding Patient Safety Work Product and Provider's External Obligations:
- "As described above, the protected system established under the Patient Safety Act works in concert with the external obligations of providers to ensure accountability and transparency while encouraging the improvement of patient safety and reduction of medical errors through a culture of safety. It is the provider's ultimate responsibility to understand what information is required to meet all of its external obligations. If a provider is uncertain what information is required of it to fulfil an external obligation, the provider should reach out to the external entity to clarify the requirement. HHS has heard anecdotal reports of providers, PSOs, and regulators working together to ensure that the regulators can obtain the information they need without requesting that providers impermissibly disclose PSWP. HHS encourages such communication. Regulatory agencies and other entities requesting information of providers or PSOs are reminded that, subject to the limited exceptions set forth in the Patient Safety Act and Patient Safety Rule, PSWP is privileged and confidential, and it may not be used to satisfy external obligations. Therefore, such entities should not demand PSWP from providers or PSOs." (Emphasis added) (41 Fed. Reg. at 32659 (May 26, 2016))

Regulators Demand for PSWP: How To Respond

- Be prepared to provide a copy of the following:
 - PSO certification letter from AHRQ
 - Copy of PSO member agreement
 - Copy of PSES policy along with pointing out that the information they are seeking is PSWP under the policy
 - Screen shots or blank/redacted forms which are used to report PSWP to the PSO or are treated as D or A
 - Provide copies of non-privileged information
 - Medical/patient care records
 - Relevant policies and procedures
 - Action plan relating to the incident if not PSWP
 - Permit interviews of involved personnel but cannot discuss or disclose PSWP

Regulators Demand for PSWP: How To Respond

- **What Should You Do If Providing this Information Does not Satisfy the Regulators?**
 - If acting on behalf of CMS, contact the applicable CMS Regional Office 6 to confirm that facility is not required to turn over PSWP
 - If acting on behalf of the state, consider using Provider Authorization to Disclose PSWP form (See Attachment B)
 - Contact legal counsel

INFORMATION FOR STATE & FEDERAL REGULATORS (OR OTHER SEEKING COMPULSORY ACCESS TO PSWP)

The information you have requested is protected by federal law (the Patient Safety and Quality Improvement Act of 2005, 42 U.S.C. 299b-21 et. seq., and 42 C.F.R. Part 3, §§ 3.10 et. seq.) as Patient Safety Work Product. Identifiable Patient Safety Work Product may not be disclosed outside of this facility.

The following is a statement set forth in the HHS Guidance Regarding Patient Safety Work Product and Provider's External Obligations:

"As described above, the protected system established under the Patient Safety Act works in concert with the external obligations of providers to ensure accountability and transparency while encouraging the improvement of patient safety and reduction of medical errors through a culture of safety. It is the provider's ultimate responsibility to understand what information is required to meet all of its external obligations. If a provider is uncertain what information is required of it to fulfil an external obligation, the provider should reach out to the external entity to clarify the requirement. HHS has heard anecdotal reports of providers, PSOs, and regulators working together to ensure that the regulators can obtain the information they need without requesting that providers impermissibly disclose PSWP. HHS encourages such communication. Regulatory agencies and other entities requesting information of providers or PSOs are reminded that, subject to the limited exceptions set forth in the Patient Safety Act and Patient Safety Rule, PSWP is privileged and confidential, and it may not be used to satisfy external obligations. Therefore, such entities should not demand PSWP from providers or PSOs." (41 Fed. Reg. at 32659 (May 26, 2016)) (Emphasis added).

Any questions about access to this information should be directed to (Hospital) General Counsel, attention:

(Hospital)

Attn: General Counsel

(address)

PROVIDER AUTHORIZATION TO DISCLOSE PSWP

Name of Provider _____

The above-named provider hereby authorizes disclosure to:

[Insert name of individual or entity to which PSWP may be disclosed]

Of the following Patient Safety Work Product information:

[Insert description of the information to be disclosed]

Signature: _____

Date: _____

For (Hospital) Use:

Information was disclosed pursuant to this authorization on: *[list below all dates upon which disclosure was disclosure was made]*

Date

Signature of Risk Manager/designee releasing
information

This authorization is to be delivered to the (Hospital) Risk Manager and retained for 6 years from the date of the last disclosure made pursuant to this authorization.

INFORMATION FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS ABOUT PERMITTED USES AND DISCLOSURE OF PATIENT SAFETY WORK PRODUCT

To: *[insert name of law enforcement official and agency to whom PSWP is given]*

The information you have requested is protected by federal law (the Patient Safety and Quality Improvement Act of 2005, 42 U.S.C. 299b-21 et. seq., and 42 C.F.R. Part 3, §§ 3.10 et. seq.) as Patient Safety Work Product. These provisions permit your access to this information only in the following circumstances and subject to the following conditions:

42 CFR 3.206:

(b)(10) Disclosure to law enforcement.

- (i) Disclosure of patient safety work product to an appropriate law enforcement authority relating to an event that either constitutes the commission of a crime, or for which the disclosing person reasonably believes constitutes the commission of a crime, provided that the disclosing person believes, reasonably under the circumstances, that the patient safety work product that is disclosed is necessary for criminal law enforcement purposes.
- (ii) Law enforcement personnel receiving patient safety work product pursuant to paragraph (b)(10)(i) of this section only may disclose that patient safety work product to other law enforcement authorities as needed for law enforcement activities related to the event that gave rise to the disclosure under paragraph (b)(10)(i) of this section.

By your signature below, you confirm that your request for access to this information is consistent with the above-cited federal law, and that you will maintain confidentiality of the information as required by federal law.

Date: _____

Signature: _____

Retain signed original for (Hospital) files; a copy of this document should be provided to the law enforcement official who obtains a copy of the PSWP.

Firm Bio



Michael R. Callahan

Senior Counsel

Health Care

Chicago Office

+1.312.902.5634

michael.callahan@katten.com

<https://katten.com/Michael-Callahan>

A nationally recognized advisor to health care providers across the country, Michael Callahan provides deeply informed business and legal counseling in all areas of hospital-physician relations and health care regulatory compliance and governmental investigations, including the Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA), the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), Medicare Conditions of Participation (CoPs), hospital licensure and accreditation standards. He is widely respected for his leading work on the Patient Safety Act from a regulatory compliance, policy and litigation standpoint, including the development of patient safety organizations (PSOs).

The knowledge to identify efficient and practical solutions

Health systems, hospitals and physician groups large and small across the country come to Michael for practical, real-world guidance and answers to challenging legal and operational issues, which he can provide quickly because of his many years of experience. He understands the reality of hospital quality, peer review, risk management and related operational legal and regulatory complexities and can rely on a large client base in order to provide better and comparative solutions.

He also is sought out by many of the largest health systems around the country for his understanding and interpretation of the Patient Safety Act. In a case of first impression he advised a national pharmacy that became the first provider to successfully assert an evidentiary privilege under the Patient Safety Act. Since that case, he has represented or advised many hospitals, physician groups and other licensed providers in creating or contracting with federally certified PSOs and has been directly involved in most of the major state appellate and federal court decisions interpreting the Patient Safety Act.

Katten Locations

CHARLOTTE

550 South Tryon Street
Suite 2900
Charlotte, NC 28202-4213
+1.704.444.2000 tel
+1.704.444.2050 fax

CHICAGO

525 West Monroe Street
Chicago, IL 60661-3693
+1.312.902.5200 tel
+1.312.902.1061 fax

DALLAS

2121 North Pearl Street
Suite 1100
Dallas, TX 75201-2591
+1.214.765.3600 tel
+1.214.765.3602 fax

LONDON

Paternoster House
65 St Paul's Churchyard
London EC4M 8AB
United Kingdom
+44 (0) 20 7776 7620 tel
+44 (0) 20 7776 7621 fax

LOS ANGELES – CENTURY CITY

2029 Century Park East
Suite 2600
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012
+1.310.788.4400 tel
+1.310.788.4471 fax

LOS ANGELES – DOWNTOWN

515 South Flower Street
Suite 4150
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2212
+1.213.443.9000 tel
+1.213.443.9001 fax

NEW YORK

50 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10020-1605
+1.212.940.8800 tel
+1.212.940.8776 fax

ORANGE COUNTY

100 Spectrum Center Drive
Suite 1050
Irvine, CA 92618-4960
+1.714.966.6819 tel
+1.714.966.6821 fax

SHANGHAI

Suite 4906 Wheelock Square
1717 Nanjing Road West
Shanghai 200040
P.R. China
+86.21.6039.3222 tel
+86.21.6039.3223 fax

WASHINGTON, DC

2900 K Street NW
North Tower - Suite 200
Washington, DC 20007-5118
+1.202.625.3500 tel
+1.202.298.7570 fax

Katten refers to Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP and the affiliated partnership as explained at katten.com/disclaimer.

Attorney advertising. Published as a source of information only. The material contained herein is not to be construed as legal advice or opinion.
