Katten

ARTICLE

The Strategic Seat: How Counsel Can Balance Business Goals With Legal Risk

Published by *Corporate Counsel*

April 14, 2026

There is a version of legal counsel that business leaders dread. You know the stereotype: the attorney who arrives late to the conversation, leads with everything that could go wrong, and leaves the room having said no to three things and qualified everything else. The business leaders walk out frustrated. The attorney walks out feeling like they did their job.

They didn't.

The highest-performing legal advisers—the ones who get invited into strategy discussions before positions harden, who have a seat on the executive committee, who shape deals rather than just review them—have figured something out: legal advice is most valuable when it's calibrated to business reality, not delivered in spite of it.

This isn't about lowering the bar on legal rigor. It's about knowing which risks actually matter, when they matter, and how to communicate them in a way that business leaders can act on. That's a different skill from being a good lawyer, and it can be learned.

Risk Tolerance Is Not a Single Variable

Before any lawyer can advise a client on legal risk, they need to understand how that organization calibrates risk. And that calibration varies more than most attorneys assume.

A nonprofit health plan subject to state licensure requirements and CMS oversight operates in a completely different risk environment than a for-profit startup trying to move fast in a new market. The legal adviser who treats them the same is sacrificing rigor for convenience.
Four variables shape organizational risk tolerance: mission and ownership structure, the risk appetite of senior leadership, competitive dynamics, and the current regulatory environment. In health care, that fourth factor is rarely static. A CMS enforcement wave, a state AG priority shift, or a significant

pending rulemaking can change the risk calculus overnight. Advisers who aren't tracking that context will consistently mismatch their advice to the moment.

The Cost of Excessive Caution

One of the most underappreciated truths in legal advising is that excessive caution has a real cost. It just doesn't show up on any balance sheet.

When a health system hesitates on an acquisition because legal raised every conceivable concern without prioritizing any of them, and a competitor closes instead — that cost is real, even if it is invisible. When a plan delays a market entry because the compliance review became an obstacle course rather than a clearance process — that cost is real too.

The in-house counsel who understands this doesn't throw caution out. They weigh it. They ask: what's the cost of inaction, alongside the cost of action? They present a risk spectrum—not just a worst-case scenario—and help business leaders make informed choices.

A Framework for the Risk Dialogue

Structuring the conversation well matters. When facing a legal risk question, the most effective advisers work through a simple three-part sequence.

First: Is this legal? If yes, move forward. If no, the work becomes finding a compliant path toward the business objective—or advising clearly that this specific approach cannot be pursued.

Second: Is this consistent with our obligations and values? Technical legality and organizational character are not the same thing. In a highly regulated industry like healthcare, where relationships with regulators and public trust are assets, reputational and relational costs sometimes outweigh the legal permissibility of an action. This is the moment to pause, not to rubber-stamp.

Third: Can we defend this decision if scrutinized? This is the most practical guide to documentation and process discipline. Legal protection in a regulated environment often comes from the quality of the decision-making process, not just the outcome. Advisors who help clients build that record are adding real value.

From Gatekeeper to Growth Partner

The shift from reactive legal adviser to strategic partner doesn't happen through proclamation. It happens through behavior, repeated over time.

It starts with understanding the business as well as lawyers understand the law. Reading the same reports the CEO reads. Knowing the P&L. Attending strategy sessions without a legal agenda. The

counsel who shows up only when something has gone wrong, or only when a document needs a redline, will always be seen as a cost center.

It continues with leading with answers. Business leaders need conclusions, not dissertations. The single most impactful habit change for most in-house attorneys is learning to lead with the bottom line—"yes, with these guardrails" or "no, but here's an alternative"—and then following with the reasoning. That reordering alone changes how legal is perceived.

And it's sustained by intellectual honesty. Saying "I don't know, but I'll find out" builds more trust than false certainty. When facts shift and the stakes are high, the adviser who acknowledges uncertainty and then closes the loop quickly is one of the most valuable people in the room.
The organizations that understand this (and the lawyers within them who live it) consistently outperform. They move faster on transactions. They structure innovative arrangements others haven't considered. And if enforcement comes, they are better positioned to defend what they did and why.

That's what it looks like when legal is a strategic asset rather than a necessary friction.

Reprinted with permission from the April 6, 2026 issue of Corporate Counsel*. Further duplication without permission is prohibited. All rights reserved. View the original publication here.*

CONTACTS

For more information, contact your Katten attorney or any of the following attorneys.

Caitlin A. Kierum
+1.312.902.5430
caitlin.kierum@katten.com

Anthony M. Del Rio
+1.312.902.5533
anthony.delrio@katten.com

Attorney advertising. Published as a source of information only. The material contained herein is not to be construed as legal advice or opinion.

©2026 Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP.

All rights reserved. Katten refers to Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP and the affiliated partnership as explained at katten.com/disclaimer.