

Indefiniteness Guidance in Advance of *Nautilus* Decision

Published in Law360

May 8, 2014

This article discusses the Federal Circuit's recent opinion in *In re Thomas G. Packard*, in conjunction with the US Supreme Court's criticism of the “insolubly ambiguous” standard for indefiniteness during oral arguments in *Nautilus Inc. v. Biosig Instruments Inc.*, and how it likely indicates a change in the way indefiniteness of patent claims will be resolved during litigation.

CONTACTS

For more information, contact your Katten attorney or any of the following attorneys.



Christopher B. Ferenc

+1.202.625.3647

christopher.ferenc@katten.com

Attorney advertising. Published as a source of information only. The material contained herein is not to be construed as legal advice or opinion.

©2026 Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP.

All rights reserved. Katten refers to Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP and the affiliated partnership as explained at [katten.com/disclaimer](https://www.katten.com/disclaimer).