

## Undue Prejudice in an Acquiescence Defense

Published in *Managing Intellectual Property*

May 2015

Intellectual Property national co-chair Karen Artz Ash and partner Bret Danow discuss the equitable defense of acquiescence in a claim of trademark infringement. They note that in general, an acquiescence defense requires that a defendant satisfy three elements: (1) it received assurances from the plaintiff that the defendant could use the mark; (2) it relied on such assurances; and (3) it would experience undue prejudice if it now had to cease use of the mark. They discuss *Pennzoil-Quaker State Co v. Miller Oil and Gas Operations et al*, a recent case that clarified the role that "undue prejudice" plays in the determination of whether a defendant can establish a claim of acquiescence. They note the value of the *Pennzoil* case, remarking that it "gives potential defendants guidance on what they will need to demonstrate in order to avail themselves of the equitable defense of acquiescence."

---

### CONTACTS

For more information, contact your Katten attorney or any of the following attorneys.



**Karen Artz Ash**

+1.212.940.8554

[karen.ash@katten.com](mailto:karen.ash@katten.com)



**Bret J. Danow**

+1.212.940.6365

[bret.danow@katten.com](mailto:bret.danow@katten.com)

Attorney advertising. Published as a source of information only. The material contained herein is not to be construed as legal advice or opinion.

©2026 Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP.

All rights reserved. Katten refers to Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP and the affiliated partnership as explained at [katten.com/disclaimer](https://www.katten.com/disclaimer).