

## Once Generic, Always Generic

Published in *Managing Intellectual Property*

September 2015

In this article, Intellectual Property national co-chair Karen Artz Ash and partner Bret Danow discuss the results and implications of *Solid 21 v Hublot of America, et al.* The US District Court for the Central District of California held that once a term becomes generic, it is always generic and cannot be the subject of trademark protection under any circumstances, even if the purported owner can demonstrate a secondary meaning. Karen and Bret note that the case serves as a warning for entities interested in adopting a previously generic term as a brand name. Additionally, it shows that even an incontestable trade mark registration can be invalidated on a claim of genericness.

---

### CONTACTS

For more information, contact your Katten attorney or any of the following attorneys.



**Karen Artz Ash**

+1.212.940.8554

[karen.ash@katten.com](mailto:karen.ash@katten.com)



**Bret J. Danow**

+1.212.940.6365

[bret.danow@katten.com](mailto:bret.danow@katten.com)

Attorney advertising. Published as a source of information only. The material contained herein is not to be construed as legal advice or opinion.

©2026 Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP.

All rights reserved. Katten refers to Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP and the affiliated partnership as explained at [katten.com/disclaimer](https://www.katten.com/disclaimer).