



## Patent Definiteness in the Courts and Patent Office

**Presented by West LegalEdcenter**

July 28, 2014

Meredith Martin Addy, national head of the Patent Litigation practice, will participate in the webcast "Patent Definiteness in the Courts and Patent Office" at 12:00 p.m. ET on Monday, July 28. The panel will review the law of patent definiteness and address the following questions:

- Where did "insolubly ambiguous" come from? The panel will provide a short history of the cases that established and applied that standard.
- What happened at the Supreme Court regarding *Nautilus v. Biosig*?
- When is a claim "indefinite"? The panel will discuss attacking and defending definiteness in litigation after *Nautilus*.
- What will the US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit do with the new standard? Can it continue to use the same approach without the "insolubly ambiguous" label?
- What is the Patent Office doing about improving definiteness? The panel will review the consequences of *Ex parte Miyazaki* and in *In re Packard*.
- What definiteness issues confront US patent practitioners when filing patent applications for correspondent firms outside the United States?

The panel will also discuss specification and claim drafting strategies, examiner interviewing and responding to indefiniteness rejections.

Attorney advertising. Published as a source of information only. The material contained herein is not to be construed as legal advice or opinion.

©2025 Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP.

All rights reserved. Katten refers to Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP and the affiliated partnership as explained at [katten.com/disclaimer](https://katten.com/disclaimer).